

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,406	02/20/2004	Shlomo Ruvane Frieman	6344	
7590 03/10/2006			EXAMINER	
Shlomo R. Frieman 139 South Mansfield Avenue			COLLINS, DOLORES R	
Los Angeles, CA 90036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>.</b>			3711	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		OJ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/783,406	SHLOMO RUVANE FRIEMAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dolores R. Collins	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 L	December 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 40-48</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 40-48</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 40-48 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<u> </u>	<del>-</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot rec	eiveu.				
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/4/05.		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Examiner acknowledges response by applicant's representative received 12/4/05. Examiner further acknowledges the cancellation of claims 4-39 and the addition of claims 40-48.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 & 40-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morales (091).

#### Regarding claims 1-3 & 40-48

Morales discloses Integer Cards. Morales teaches a deck with multiple sets of playing cards (see abstract). Each set comprising 2M+1 playing cards with a range –M to M (see figure 1). Morales fails to teach that M being greater than 6. Morales

Art Unit: 3711

however teaches that his cards are used as a tool by teachers in maintaining the interest of students (see col. 4, lines 20-24) and further teaches that modifications may be made without exceeding the scope of his invention (see col. 4, lines 26-34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Morales to include integers of at least 10 to stimulate interest and add an additional challenge for the students.

Morales further fails to teach the specific arrangement and/or content of indicia, i.e., Arabic numerals (printed matter) set forth in the claim(s). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any type of numerals since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. *In re Gulack*, 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of numerals does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter and the substrate, which is required for patentability.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/4/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has cancelled claims 4-39 and added claims 40-48. Applicant has extensive dictionary definitions and has argued examiner's use of *In re Gulack* but all applicant has claimed is indicia. Applicant has further added new claims that, once again, claim indicia. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter and the substrate, which is required for patentability.

The action has been made final.

Art Unit: 3711

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(571)* **272-4421**. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Eugene Kim* can be reached on *(571) 272-4463*. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is *571-273-8300*.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,406

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

3/5/06

Jefor ()

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6